## 6.2 Discussion and Result of Main Experiment

Based on the preliminary experiment, we have already observed the advantages of including randomness in the charging pattern. Therefore, we run the second experiment mainly based on the scheme where all the alternative plans are with randomness, i.e. charging plan 1, 3 and 5.

And the main goal of this part is to look deeper into how the charging plans, flexibility of households, and optimization goal, affect the grid robustness and cost. The detailed discussion of each factor are discussed in the following section.

### 6.2.1 Effect of charging plans on robustness

To compare the effectiveness of random charging plan 1, 3, and 5 on improving the grid robustness, we run the experiments in different schemes while keep other variables unchanged. And the neighborhood are set to have highest flexibility, i.e. all EV’s have alternative plans.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Experiment Reference Name | Number of Total EV | Number of EV with alternative plans | **Scheme for Alternative plans** | Car | State | Optimization goal |
| 151207\_1k\_1k\_0111 | 1000 | 1000 | [0,1,1,1] | Tesla | TEXAS | robustness |
| 151207\_1k\_1k\_0333 | 1000 | 1000 | [0,3,3,3] | Tesla | TEXAS | robustness |
| 151207\_1k\_1k\_0555 | 1000 | 1000 | [0,5,5,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | robustness |
| 151207\_1k\_1k\_0135 | 1000 | 1000 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | robustness |

As the robustness is defined as the total electricity consumption, the lower the volatility of the curve, the higher the robustness. Therefore, compared to benchmark (only original plans) situation, four schemes above can all significantly increase the robustness of the grid consumption, by reaching a more stable energy consumption along the time.
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### 6.2.2 Effect of household flexibility on the robustness

Then,

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Experiment Reference Name | Number of Total EV | **Number of EV with alternative plans** | Scheme for Alternative plans | Car | State | Optimization goal |
| 151207\_1k\_200\_0135 | 1000 | 200 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | robustness |
| 151207\_1k\_400\_0135 | 1000 | 400 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | robustness |
| 151207\_1k\_600\_0135 | 1000 | 600 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | robustness |
| 151207\_1k\_800\_0135 | 1000 | 800 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | robustness |
| 151207\_1k\_1k\_0135 | 1000 | 1000 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | robustness |
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### 6.2.3 Effect of household flexibility on the cost

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Experiment Reference Name | Number of Total EV | **Number of EV with alternative plans** | Scheme for Alternative plans | Car | State | **Optimization goal** |
| 151207\_1k\_200\_0135 | 1000 | 200 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | cost minimization |
| 151207\_1k\_400\_0135 | 1000 | 400 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | cost minimization |
| 151207\_1k\_600\_0135 | 1000 | 600 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | cost minimization |
| 151207\_1k\_800\_0135 | 1000 | 800 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | cost minimization |
| 151207\_1k\_1k\_0135 | 1000 | 1000 | [0,1,3,5] | Tesla | TEXAS | cost minimization |
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